

Zimbra

dumont@gmavt.net

question about proposed special protocol

From : James Dumont <dumont@gmavt.net>

Tue, May 16, 2017 02:06 PM

Subject : question about proposed special protocol

To : Geoff Commons, Esq. <geoff.common@vermont.gov>, Sandy Levine <SLevine@clf.org>, Weisburst, Sanford I., Esq. - Entergy <sandyweisburst@quinnemanuel.com>, Steph Hoffman <Steph.Hoffman@vermont.gov>, Jen Duggan <jen.duggan@vermont.gov>, Kyle Landis-Marinello <kyle.landis-marinello@vermont.gov> shadis@prexar.com, Clay Turnbull at Necnp <cturnbull@necnp.org>, skygvt <skygvt@aol.com>, Arnie Gundersen <sailchamplain@gmail.com>, Margaret Gundersen <fairewinds@mac.com>

Geoff, Steph, Joslyn, Kyle, Sandy and Sandy -- as I read new paragraph 13, the protocol calls for the Board to approve of returning to NS or destroying the documents and thereby complying with the PRA because the return or destruction would be "authorized by law," i.e, the Board order.

But I can see the other interpretation as well. If the other interpretation is intended, don't you need to add language stating that State agencies will not return or destroy the documents? You could add a sentence stating that "Approval of this Protocol by the Board does not constitute authorization by law to return or destroy documents."

Jim

James A. Dumont, Esq.
15 Main Street
PO Box 229
Bristol, VT 05443
Ph) 802-453-7011
Fax) 802-505-6290

Memo in Opp. To
Special Protocol
Docket No.: 8880
Attachment 2